

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/896,776		06/29/2001	Wolfgang Horn	00 P 14945 US	8889
7470	7590	04/27/2005		EXAMINER	
WHITE &			PHAM, THOMAS K		
PATENT DEPARTMENT 1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10036			2121		
				DATE MAILED: 04/27/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/896,776	HORN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thomas K. Pham	2121					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 February 2005.							
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 16-32 is/are pending in the application	Claim(s) <u>16-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-32</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>16-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	., , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 2121

Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to request for continued examination filed on 2/14/2005.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16-32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Quotations of U.S. Code Title 35

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/896,776

Art Unit: 2121

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. Claims 16-19, 21-23 and 25-26, 30 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being

Page 3

anticipated by U.S. Patent no. 5,611,059 ("Benton").

Regarding claim 16

Benton teaches an industrial controller comprising a plurality of devices, for use in controlling a

system including a plurality of components (col. 1 lines 13-25), the controller comprising:

- control means independent of the controlled components (col. 3 lines 49-52) and

- component control means relating to the controlled components for supplementing the

control means (col. 7 lines 13-17), the component control means implemented using a

plurality of technology objects corresponding to the components, the technology objects

distributable on the devices (col. 9 lines 29-54). Examiner interprets that the graphical

symbols are similar to applicant's technology objects since they are also represent the

physical devices of the real world.

Regarding claim 17

Benton teaches automatically generated communications links between at least two of the

technology objects (col. 3 lines 55-60)

Regarding claim 18

Benton teaches technology objects comprise attributes taken into account in the generation of the

communications links (col. 9 lines 22-28).

Regarding claim 19

Benton teaches technology objects are distributable on a plurality of devices within a project, the

project relating to plurality of control units (col. 5 line 66 to col. 6 line 18).

Application/Control Number: 09/896,776

Art Unit: 2121

Page 4

Regarding claim 20

Benton teaches the functionality of the technology objects is distributed among control units in

equidistant communication with one another in real time with clock synchronization (col. 10

lines 53-58).

Regarding claim 21

Benton teaches the technology object types permit technological scaling of the functionality of

the controller (col. 7 lines 35-39).

Regarding claim 22

Benton teaches technology objects are interleaved to form container objects (col. 6 lines 55-65).

Regarding claim 23

Benton teaches further adapted to provide a plurality of views of the technology objects to a user

(col. 4 lines 32-41).

Regarding claim 24

Benton teaches further adapted for feedback-free programming of a technology object with

respect to the other technology objects and the control means (FIG. 6 the programs interface with

physical device with no feedback).

Regarding claim 25

Benton teaches technology objects are represented in the engineering system by graphical

elements (col. 4 lines 32-41).

Regarding claim 26

Benton teaches the technology objects have types and the technology object types are clustered

into one or more technology packages (col. 4 lines 47-59).

Art Unit: 2121

Regarding claim 27

Benton teaches a method of programming an industrial control system comprising a plurality of

devices, the controller being programmed for one or more projects and comprising a plurality of

technology objects (col. 1 lines 13-25), the method comprising the steps of:

- providing a technology-neutral control system (col. 3 lines 42-52, "The present invention

allows ... with the physical device");

- interleaving of the technology objects to form a set of complex technology objects (col. 6

lines 55-65, "Physical devices with more ... is well known in the art");

- distributing a plurality of the technology objects on a plurality of the devices (col. 6 lines

30-35, "Alternatively, the physical devices ... and the physical devices 20, 30");

reusing at least one of the complex technology objects in a second project (col. 7 line 60

to col. 8 line 5, "The process graphic editor 52 ... partially modified and deleted"). It is

inherent that the template library and the graphic display files can be reused and modified

as needed.

Regarding claim 28

Benton teaches communications channel between at least two of the technology objects, wherein

attributes of the technology objects are taken into account in generating the communication

channels (col. 9 lines 22-28).

Regarding claim 29

Benton teaches a method of programming an industrial control system comprising a plurality of

devices, the controller being programmed for one or more projects and comprising a plurality of

technology objects (col. 1 lines 13-25), the method comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2121

- providing a technology-neutral control system (col. 3 lines 42-52, "The present invention allows ... with the physical device");

- instantiating the technology objects (col. 3 lines 55-60, "In addition, when graphical symbols ... linked to the graphical symbol");
- interleaving the technology objects to form a set of complex technology objects for a first project (col. 6 lines 55-65, "Physical devices with more ... is well known in the art");
- distributing the technology objects on a plurality of the devices (col. 6 lines 30-35, "Alternatively, the physical devices ... and the physical devices 20, 30");
- generating communication channels between the technology objects (col. 4 lines 60-64, "Furthermore, the present invention ... within the application database");
- reusing at least one of the complex technology objects in a second project (col. 7 line 60 to col. 8 line 5, "The process graphic editor 52 ... partially modified and deleted"). It is inherent that the template library and the graphic display files can be reused and modified as needed.

Regarding claim 30

Benton teaches a method for programming an industrial controller for a technical process, the method comprising the steps of:

- selecting a plurality of technology objects relevant to a desired application (col. 4 lines 32-41, "the system also includes ... available to the pointgroup");
- interleaving the selected technology objects to form technology objects having complex functionality (col. 6 lines 55-65, "Physical devices with more ... is well known in the art"); and

Art Unit: 2121

- distributing the interleaved technology objects onto a device (col. 6 lines 30-35,

"Alternatively, the physical devices ... and the physical devices 20, 30").

Regarding claim 31

Benton teaches interleaved software objects may be re-used in a subsequent application (col. 7

line 60 to col. 8 line 5, "The process graphic editor 52 ... partially modified and deleted"). It is

inherent that the template library and the graphic display files can be reused and modified as

needed.

Regarding claim 32

Benton teaches a system for programming an industrial controller, comprising:

- an industrial control system (col. 1 lines 13-25);

- means for selecting a plurality of technology objects relevant to a desired application

(col. 4 lines 32-41, "the system also includes ... available to the pointgroup");

- means for interleaving the selected technology objects to form technology objects having

complex functionality (col. 6 lines 55-65, "Physical devices with more ... is well known

in the art"); and

- means for distributing the interleaved technology objects onto a plurality of devices (col.

6 lines 30-35, "Alternatively, the physical devices ... and the physical devices 20, 30").

Art Unit: 2121

Response to Arguments

8. In response to applicant arguments that the Engdahl does not include technology objects or control any devices, Examiner disagrees because Engdahl discloses that the interface creates software "objects" that represents the physical machines (see col. 2 lines 11-12) and the invention is useful for designing, programming, controlling or maintenance of factory processes (see col. 4 line 64 to col. 5 line 4). Eventhough Engdahl mainly focuses discussion on the user interface for interfacing between human and the machines within a factory floor, considering the reference as a whole, Engdahl inherently teaches the claimed limitations.

9. However, for compact prosecution, examiner has cited a new prior art by Benton et al. (USPN 5,611,059) in view of the new ground(s) of rejection presented above. Benton teaches the claimed limitations that are inherently taught by Engdahl.

Application/Control Number: 09/896,776

Art Unit: 2121

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to examiner Thomas Pham; whose telephone number is (571) 272-3689, Monday - Friday

from 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM EST or contact Supervisor Mr. Anthony Knight at (571) 272-3687.

Any response to this office action should be mailed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O.

Box 1450, Alexandria VA 22313-1450. Responses may also be faxed to the official fax

number (703) 872- 9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas Pham

Patent Examiner

April 22, 2005

Anthony Knight

limb It to

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 9

Group 3600